

Teaching advanced computational and experimental reactor physics in hybrid learning environments using active learning techniques – Experience from the GRE@T-PIONEeR project

#### C. Demazière<sup>1</sup>, J. Gomez<sup>2</sup> and C. Stöhr<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chalmers University of Technology, <sup>2</sup>Università di Pisa <u>demaz@chalmers.se</u>, j.gomezrodriguez@studenti.unipi.it and <u>christian.stohr@chalmers.se</u>



This project has received funding from the European Union's Euratom research and innovation programme 2014-2018 under the Grant Agreement n°890675. The content of this document reflects only the author's view. The European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

#### BACKGROUND

- Advanced courses = often offered as intensive <u>onsite</u> "workshops" or "summer courses"
- >Too condensed format to lead to "efficient" learning
- Issuance of certificates of attendance (with no real measure of engagement, progress and understanding)
- Online and hybrid learning environments = more accessibility and flexibility
- Often low engagement and high drop-out rates

### BACKGROUND

- "Innovative" learning design proposed in the GRE@T-PIONEeR project, having for objectives:
  - To offer **advanced** courses
  - In a **flexible** manner
  - Having a **high engagement** of the participants in the activities
  - And making sure that the participants successfully learnt the concepts/principles/methods

## WHAT IS GRE@T-PIONEeR?

- 18 university teachers from 8 different universities in 6 different countries
- Main **goals** of the project:
  - Maintain or further develop competences in computational and experimental nuclear reactor physics and safety
  - Deliver top-class courses using state-of-the-art pedagogical methods (active learning through flipping)
  - Create a **community** of **reactor physicists**

## **COURSE OFFERING**

#### • 9 course modules offered:

- Nuclear cross-sections for neutron transport
- Neutron transport at the fuel cell and assembly levels
- Core modelling for core design
- Core modelling for transients
- Reactor transients, nuclear safety and uncertainty and sensitivity analysis
- Radiation protection in nuclear environment
- Hands-on exercises on the AKR-2 training reactor
- Hands-on exercises on the CROCUS training reactor (onsite only)
- Hands-on exercises on the BME training reactor

More info and registration at <u>https://great-pioneer.eu/register</u>

• Flipping:



Sfard, A. (1998). On two metaphors for learning and the dangers of choosing just one. Educational researcher, 27(2), 4-13.

• Flipping:



#### • Flipping:



Hrastinski, S. (2008). Asynchronous and synchronous e-learning. Educause Quarterly, 31(4), 51-55.

• Flipping:



Hrastinski, S. (2008). Asynchronous and synchronous e-learning. Educause Quarterly, 31(4), 51-55.



10

Synchronous hybrid learning phase concentrated on 5 consecutive days



#### • Active learning techniques used:

- Short summarizing lectures followed by "quizzes", with or without prior group discussions
- Heavy use of **computer simulation tools** with different objectives:
  - Implementing nuclear reactor modelling techniques introduced in the other course elements
  - **Checking** the proper **understanding** of key concepts via small assignments
  - **Checking** the proper **use** of third-party nuclear simulation software against some reference solutions

#### Highly-structured sessions

- Boundary conditions/set-up:
  - To be **accepted** to the **synchronous sessions**, the participants should watch at least 50% of the pre-recorded videos and take at least 50% of the quizzes
  - To obtain a **course certificate**, the participants should get at least 50 points (out of 100)
- All activities are delivered, monitored and graded via the SOUL Learning Management System (LMS) from Tecnatom



- Analysis of one of the courses: "Core modelling for core design"
- Timing:
  - Asynchronous learning phase: November 25, 2022 January 8, 2023
  - Synchronous learning phase: January 9-13, 2023
  - Extra time to complete the synchronous activities: January 14-February 13, 2023



- Analysis of one of the courses "Core modelling for core design"
- Student statistics:
  - 58 applicants
  - 6 rejected applications (upper limit for each course set to 50 participants)
  - 52 accepted applications (12 onsite and 41 online) and granted access to the LMS
  - **31 participants qualified for the synchronous sessions** (with 12 onsite and 19 online)
  - 29 participants received a course certificate (12 onsite and 17 online)
- Remark: all online participants took some of the first synchronous activities



• Use of the various teaching resources – asynchronous elements:





• Use of the various teaching resources – synchronous elements:





 Learning of the theoretical concepts – asynchronous + synchronous quizzes





• Ability to apply the concepts in practical situations – synchronous activities other than quizzes





#### ANALYSIS



• Participants' own perception of the course



25

• Thematic analysis of "things" participants liked (N=27):

- I. Practical Exercises / Tools / Codes / Software (16)
- 2. Course Materials / Handbooks / Slides / Sources (11)
- 3. Well-explained Topics / Quality of Teachers (9)
- 4. Organization / Course Structure / Preparation (9)
- 5. Networking / Interactions with Students and Professionals (6)
- 6. Inclusive Atmosphere / Support from Teachers and Students (5)
- 7. Flipped Classroom / Teaching Methods (3)
- 8. Flexibility / Pace / Online Learning (2)
- 9. Real-world Applications / Industry Relevance (2)
- 10. Multidisciplinary / Diverse Backgrounds (2)

• Thematic analysis of "things" participants did not like (N=27):

- I. Time Constraints and Pace (17 items)
  - 2. Content and Instruction (13 items)
  - 3. Technical Issues and Software (11 items)
  - 4. Course Structure and Topics (6 items)
- -7 5. Workload and Assignments (5 items)
  - 6. Course Format and Recommendations (4 items)
  - 7. Instructor-related Issues (3 items)



My personal views on the project: A timeline on how it feels to be part of courses onsite, online, theoretical and hands-on training.





- **Registration** procedure is extremely easy and web platform is very friendly.
- Once accepted, availability from professors is excellent. Indeed, the platform is simple and complete with announcements, chat and forum.
- Potential funding from ENEN mobility support.



The **asynchronous** part is wellstructured and didactic. Recordings with clear audio, video and quizzes of the content of the self-paced learning is done.

Some contents are challenging and bring to our minds new concepts or expand in those that are learnt previously.



During the **asynchronous** part, course designed handbook is written and is read.

The handbooks are understandable and concise, however, to complete all the asynchronous reading, watching all video lectures and the quizzes is needed a great deal of time.

Be prepared for the **synchronous** part is demanding, necessary and rewarding.

#### **Course Handbook**

Core design and operation

5. PWR in-core fuel management	.5-1
5.1. In-core fuel management: objectives	.5-1
5.1.a. Long-term in-core fuel management	.5-2
5.1.b. Medium-term in-core fuel management	.5-2
5.1.c. Short-term fuel management	.5-2
5.1.d. Final design of the reactor core	.5-3
5.2. Fuel loading patterns	.5-3
5.2.a. Types of loading patterns	.5-3
5.2.b. Loading pattern optimization	.5-5
5.2.c. Stretch out and shortening of cycles	.5-6
5.2.d. Trends in operating strategies and loading patterns	.5-6



At the neutron transport at fuel cell and assembly level course in Gothenburg, Sweden

During the **synchronous** sessions:

- ✓ Bidirectional discussions in already grounded topics during the asynchronous time.
- Exchange with colleagues of diverse countries, and levels: master students, PhD candidates, experienced nuclear engineers and operators.
- ✓ The courses strengthen and introduce fundamental topics, e.g., discrete ordinate method for neutron transport.
- $\checkmark$  Good opportunity for networking.

- Courses include extra features such as programming tasks and use of codes: Jupyter notebooks, Serpent 2.2, CASMO4, OpenMC, SIMULATE3.
- ✓ Give the opportunity to manipulate equipment, devices, samples, sources.
- ✓ Perform experiments such as CR calibration, transfer function measurement, pile oscillator, etc.
- ✓ Courses provide ECTS credits.



At the two weeks hands-on training at the AKR-2 Reactor at Technical University of Dresden, Germany

And undoubtedly, it is also a great opportunity to meet new people, new places, eat unknown food, practice other languages and create good memories in one's mind.



During a Saturday of hiking at Saxon Switzerland National Park, Germany.

## CONCLUSIONS

- Very good outcomes in terms of participation, engagement and completion
- Very good feedback from students
- Significant differences between onsite and online participants
- **Strategic' learning** for the **online** participants?
- High workload to be combined with other duties?
- Very rewarding to reach such a high level of teachers-students interactions during the synchronous sessions, thanks to flipping
- Courses being **re-offered** during this academic year

# Thank you! Contact details:



Name: Prof. Christophe Demazière







- For the first 4 delivered courses:
  - Origin of the access to the LMS:



Almost worldwide coverage

- For the first 4 delivered courses:
  - 246 applicants
  - 51 rejected applications (upper limit for each course set to 50 participants)
  - 195 accepted applications (49 onsite and 146 online)
  - 199 participants actually granted access to the LMS
  - 142 participants qualified for the synchronous sessions (with 47 onsite and 75 online participants taking the first synchronous activity)
  - **II3 participants received a course certificate** (47 onsite and 66 online)
- II3 course certificates of successful completion already granted!

- For the first 4 delivered courses:
  - Completion rate of the participants granted access to the LMS: 57%
  - Completion rate of the participants qualified for the synchronous sessions: 80%
  - Completion rate of the participants taking the first activity of the synchronous sessions: 93% (100% for the onsite participants and 88% for the online participants)
  - Fantastic engagement of the participants who take the first synchronous activity

- For the first 4 delivered courses:
  - Participant course questionnaires:
    - I benefited from this course (1-5): 4.7
    - This course met my expectations (1-5): 4.2
    - I experienced and learned new things in this course (1.5): 4.6
    - The content covered in this course was NOT interesting (1-5): 1.5
    - I would like to take more courses like this one (1-5): 4.5
    - I would recommend this course to others (I-5): 4.5

Fantastic responses and feedback from all participants, irrespective of whether they were onsite or online